

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,976	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Arthur R. Crawford.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed cancelling claims 5 and 6 to place the case in condition for allowance. Arthur R. Crawford then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)